

<i>Comcast Corp.</i> v. <i>Behrend</i>: The Supreme Court Holds That Rule 23(b)(3) Class Certification Requires Consistent Proof of Predominance with Respect to Liability and Damages

Date: 03/29/13

In a 5-to-4 decision, the Supreme Court held in *Comcast Corp.* v. *Behrend* that class certification under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Rule 23(b)(3)") requires courts to engage in a "rigorous analysis" to determine that plaintiffs have sufficiently demonstrated that both liability and damages are capable of proof on a classwide basis and that although damages calculations offered at this stage need not be exact, they must be "consistent" with the theory of liability offered by plaintiffs.

Attorney

Charles A. Gilman